arXiv:1605.02222v1 [math.CO] 7 May 2016

On the roots of total domination polynomial of graphs

Saeid Alikhani*and Nasrin Jafari

May 10, 2016

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, 89195-741, Yazd, Iran
alikhani@yazd.ac.ir

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph of order n. The total dominating set of G is a subset D of V that every vertex of V is adjacent to some vertices of D. The total domination number of G is equal to minimum cardinality of total dominating set in G and denoted by $\gamma_t(G)$. The total domination polynomial of G is the polynomial $D_t(G, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma_t(G)}^{n} d_t(G, i)$, where $d_t(G, i)$ is the number of total dominating sets of G of size i. In this paper, we study roots of total domination polynomial of some graphs. We show that all roots of $D_t(G, x)$ lie in the circle with center $(-1, 0)$ and the radius $\sqrt[\delta]{2^n - 1}$, where δ is the minimum degree of G. As a consequence we prove that if $\delta \geq \frac{2n}{3}$, then every integer root of $D_t(G, x)$ lies in the set $\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$.

Keywords: Total domination polynomial, Total dominating set, Root.

AMS Subj. Class.: 05C69.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. The order of G is the number of vertices of G. For any vertex $v \in V$, the open neighborhood of v is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V | uv \in E\}$ and the closed neighborhood is the set $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. For a set $S \subset V$, the open neighborhood of S is the set $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and the closed neighborhood of S is the set $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$. The set $D \subset V$ is a total dominating set if every vertex of V is adjacent to some vertices of D, or equivalently, $N(D) = V$. The total domination number $\gamma_t(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set in G. A total dominating set with cardinality $\gamma_t(G)$ is called a γ_t-set. An i-subset of V is a subset of V of cardinality i. Let $D_t(G, i)$ be the family of total dominating sets of G which are i-subsets and let $d_t(G, i) = |D_t(G, i)|$. The polynomial $D_t(G; x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_t(G, i)x^i$ is defined as total domination polynomial of G. As an example, for all $n \geq 1$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$, $d_t(K_n, i) = \binom{n}{i}$ and so $D_t(K_n, x) = (x + 1)^n - nx - 1$. A root of $D_t(G, x)$ is called a

*Corresponding author

total domination root of G. For many graph polynomials, their roots have attracted considerable attention. The roots of the chromatic polynomial, independence polynomial, matching polynomial and reliability polynomials have been studied extensively [5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11]. Roots of domination polynomial has investigated in some papers. Graphs with exactly one, two, three and four domination roots characterized in [1, 2, 4]. Also Brown and Tufts studied the location of the roots of domination polynomials for some families of graphs such as bipartite cocktail party graphs and complete bipartite graphs. In particular, they showed that the set of all domination roots is dense in the complex plane ([9]). Oboudi in [14], proved that if δ is the minimum degree of vertices of G of order n, then all roots of $D(G,x)$ lies in the set $\{z : |z+1| \leq \sqrt[\delta+1]{2^n-1}\}$. Motivated by a conjecture which states that every integer root of $D(G,x)$ is -2 or 0 (see [2]), he has shown that if $\delta \geq \frac{2n}{3} - 1$, then every integer root of $D(G,x)$ is -2 or 0. In this paper we would like to study the total domination roots.

The corona of two graphs G_1 and G_2, as defined by Frucht and Harary in [13], is the graph $G = G_1 \circ G_2$ formed from one copy of G_1 and $|V(G_1)|$ copies of G_2, where the i-th vertex of G_1 is adjacent to every vertex in the i-th copy of G_2. The corona $G \circ K_1$, in particular, is the graph constructed from a copy of G, where for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, a new vertex v' and a pendant edge vv' are added. The join of two graphs G_1 and G_2, denoted by $G_1 \vee G_2$, is a graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv | u \in V(G_1) \text{ and } v \in V(G_2)\}$.

As usual we denote the complete graph, path and cycle of order n by K_n, P_n and C_n, respectively. Also $K_{1,n}$ is the star graph with $n+1$ vertices.

In the next section we compute the total domination polynomial of some specific graphs. In Section 3, we study the roots of total domination polynomial of a graph. Along the way several problems for further study are also listed.

2 Total domination polynomial of some graphs

In this section we shall compute the total domination polynomial of specific graphs. First we consider friendship graph. The friendship (or Dutch-Windmill) graph F_n is a graph that can be constructed by coalescence n copies of the cycle graph C_3 of length 3 with a common vertex. The Friendship Theorem of Paul Erdös, Alfred Rényi and Vera T. Sós [12], states that graphs with the property that every two vertices have exactly one neighbour in common are exactly the friendship graphs. Figure 1 shows some examples of friendship graphs.

Theorem 2.1 *(i) For any $n \geq 2$, we have $\gamma_t(F_n) = 2$.*

(ii) For every $n \geq 2$, $D_t(F_n, x) = x(x+1)^{2n} + x^{2n} - x$.

Proof.

(i) Let $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{2n}\}$ be vertex set of F_n and v_0 be a common vertex in F_n. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, $\{v_0, v_i\}$ is a total dominating set for F_n, therefore $\gamma_t(F_n) \leq 2$. Since for every graph G we have $\gamma_t(G) \geq 2$, so $\gamma_t(F_n) = 2$.



Figure 1: Friendship graphs F_2, F_3, F_4 and F_n, respectively.

(ii) Suppose that D is a total dominating set of F_n of size $k \leq 2n-1$. Obviously $v_0 \in D$ for all total dominating set D of size $k \leq 2n-1$. To choose $k-1$ other vertices of copies of C_3 (except the vertex v_0), we have $\binom{2n}{k-1}$ possibilities, so $d_t(F_n, k) = \binom{2n}{k-1}$. For $k \geq 2n$, every subset of vertex set in F_n by size k is a total dominating set for F_n. So we have result. □

The n-book graph B_n can be constructed by bonding n copies of the cycle graph C_4 along a common edge $\{u, v\}$, see Figure 2. Here we compute the total domination polynomial of n-book graphs.



Figure 2: The book graphs B_3 and B_4, respectively.

Theorem 2.2 *(i) For any $n \geq 2$, $\gamma_t(B_n) = 2$.*

(ii) For every $n \geq 2$, $D_t(B_n, x) = x^2(x+1)^{2n} + 2x^{n+1}(x+1)^n + (2n+1)x^{2n}$.

Proof.

(i) Let $\{u, v\}$ be vertices on the common edge in B_n, $\{u, v\}$ is a total dominating set of B_n, this implies that $\gamma_t(B_n) \leq 2$. So we have the result.

(ii) Let D be a total dominating set of B_n. Observe that all total dominating set D of size $k \leq n$, contain two vertices v and u. To choose $k-2$ other vertices of copies of C_4 (except two vertices $\{v, u\}$), we have $\binom{2n}{k-2}$ possibilities, so for $k \leq n$, $d_t(B_n, k) = \binom{2n}{k-2}$.

For $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n-1$, we have two cases:

Case 1) Suppose that $\{u, v\} \in D$. To choose $k-2$ other vertices of copies of $C_4 \setminus \{v, u\}$'s, we have $\binom{2n}{k-2}$ possibilities.

Case 2) In this case $u \in D$ or $v \in D$. To choose $k-1$ other vertices of $C_4 \setminus \{v, u\}$'s, we have $\binom{n}{k-n-1}$ possibilities. So in this case we have $2\binom{n}{k-n-1}$ possibilities.

For $k \geq 2n$, every subset of $V(B_n)$ of size k is a total dominating set, so for $k \geq 2n$, $d_t(B_n, k) = \binom{2n+2}{k}$. Therefore we have the result. □

Let G be a graph of order n with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and H be a graph of order m with vertex set $\{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, add m new vertices $\{u_1^i, u_2^i, \ldots, u_m^i\}$ and join u_j^i to v_i for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. By definition this graph is $G \circ H$. The following theorem gives the total domination number of $G \circ H$.

Theorem 2.3 *For any graph G of order n, where $n \geq 2$ and any graph H of order m, $\gamma_t(G \circ H) = n$.*

Proof. Let D be a total dominating set of $G \circ H$. Since the set $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a total dominating set of $G \circ H$, so $|D| \leq n$. Also for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $v_i \in D$ or at least one of the $u_j^i \in D$, where $1 \leq j \leq m$, therefore $|D| \geq n$ and so we have the result. □

Theorem 2.4 *For any graph G of order $n \geq 2$ and every k, $n \leq k \leq (m+1)n$, we have $d_t(G \circ \overline{K_m}, k) = \binom{mn}{k-n}$. Hence $D_t(G \circ \overline{K_m}, x) = x^n(x+1)^{mn}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $V(G) = \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ and D is a total dominating set of $G \circ \overline{K_m}$ of size $k \geq n$. Obviously $v_i \in D$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. To choose $k-n$ other vertices of copies of K_m's, we have $\binom{mn}{k-n}$ possibilities. So we have results. □

Theorem 2.5 *For every graph H of order n, $D_t(K_1 \circ H, x) = x(1+x)^n - x + D_t(H, x)$.*

Proof. We have two cases for a total dominating set D of size k in the graph of the form $K_1 \circ H$.

Case 1) The set D includes u (the vertex originally in K_1) and for choose other vertices in D we have $\binom{n}{k-1}$ possibilities. So the generating function for the number of total dominating sets of graph in this case is $x(1+x)^n - x$.

Case 2) The set D does not include u and it is exactly a total dominating set of H. In this case $D_t(H, x)$ is the generating function.

By addition principle, we have $D_t(K_1 \circ H, x) = x(1+x)^n - x + D_t(H, x)$. □

Since $D_t(\overline{K_m} \circ H, x) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} D_t(K_1 \circ H, x) = (D_t(K_1 \circ H, x)^m$, so we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6 *For every graph H of order n, we have*

$$D_t(\overline{K_m} \circ H, x) = (x(1+x)^n - x + D_t(H, x))^m.$$

A finite sequence of real numbers $(a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ is said to be unimodal if there is some $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$, called the mode of sequence, such that $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq ... \leq a_{k-1} \leq a_k \geq a_{k+1} \geq ... \geq a_n$; the mode is unique if $a_{k-1} < a_k > a_{k+1}$. A polynomial is called unimodal if the sequence of its coefficients is unimodal. The following results prove that the total domination polynomial of the complete graph and the friendship graphs are unimodal.

Theorem 2.7 *(i) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $D_t(K_n, x)$ is unimodal.*

(ii) For every $n \geq 2$, $D_t(F_n, x)$ is unimodal.

Proof.

(i) Since $D_t(K_n, x) = (x+1)^n - nx - 1 = \binom{n}{2}x^2 + \binom{n}{3}x^3 + ... + x^n$ is unimodal, the result follows.

(ii) By Theorem 2.1, $D_t(F_n, x) = x(x+1)^{2n} + x^{2n} - x$ and so

$$D_t(F_n, x) = \binom{2n}{1}x^2 + \binom{2n}{2}x^3 + ... + \binom{2n}{2n-2}x^{2n-1} + (2n+1)x^{2n} + x^{2n+1},$$

since $\binom{2n}{2n-2} \geq 2n+1$ is true for all natural number $n \geq 2$, therefore we have the result. □

Motivated by unimodality of total domination polynomial of specific graphs such as K_n and F_n, we have a similar conjecture to unimodality of domination polynomial ([3]) for total domination polynomial. Notice that that conjecture for domination polynomials is still open. Here, similar to domination polynomial of a graph, we state and prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.8 *Let G be a graph of order n. Then for every $0 \leq i < \frac{n}{2}$, we have $d_t(G, i) \leq d_t(G, i+1)$.*

Proof. The proof follows the proof in [3] with some minor changes. Consider a bipartite graph with two partite sets X and Y. The vertices of X are total dominating sets of G of cardinality i, and the vertices of Y are all $(i+1)$-subsets of $V(G)$. Join a vertex A of X to a vertex B of Y, if $A \subseteq B$. Clearly, the degree of each vertex in X is $n - i$. Also for any $B \in Y$, the degree of B is at most $i + 1$. We claim that for any $S \subseteq X$, $|N(S)| \geq |S|$ and so by Hall's Marriage Theorem, the bipartite graph has a matching which saturate all vertices of X. By contradiction suppose that there exists $S \subseteq X$ such that $|N(S)| < |S|$. The number of edges incident with S is $|S|(n-i)$. Thus by pigeon hole principle, there exists a vertex $B \in Y$ with degree more than $n - i$. This implies that $i+1 \geq n-i+1$. Hence $i \geq n$, a contradiction. Thus for every $S \subseteq X$, $|N(S)| \geq |S|$ and the claim is proved. Since for every $A \in X$, and every $v \in V(G) \setminus A$, $A \cup \{v\}$ is a total dominating set of cardinality $i + 1$, we conclude that $d_t(G, i+1) \geq d_t(G, i)$ and the proof is complete. □

We end this section with the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2.9 *The total domination polynomial of a graph is unimodal.*

3 Roots of total domination polynomial

In this section, we shall study the roots of the total domination polynomial of a graph. First we state and prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of order n. Then*

$$D_t(G, x) = \sum_{S \subseteq V} (-1)^{|S|}(x+1)^{n-|N(S)|}.$$

Proof. We know that $(x+1)^n$ is the generating function of the sequence of the number of subsets of $V(G)$. On the other hand for any $S \subseteq V(G)$, $(x+1)^{n-|N(S)|}$ is the generating function of the sequence of the subsets of $V(G)$ which has no neighbor in S. By the inclusionexclusion principle, we have the result. □

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following theorem about the location of roots of the total domination polynomial. The proofs of Theorems 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 follows the proof in [14] with some minor changes:

Theorem 3.2 *Let G be a graph of order n and δ be the minimum degree of G. Then all roots of $D_t(G, x)$ lie in the circle with center $(-1, 0)$ and the radius $\sqrt[\delta]{2^n - 1}$. In other words, if $D_t(G, z) = 0$, then $|z + 1| \leq \sqrt[\delta]{2^n - 1}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 and putting $y = z + 1$, we have

$$D_t(G, z) = \sum_{S \subseteq V} (-1)^{|S|} y^{n-|N(S)|} = y^n - f(y),$$

where $f(y) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq V} (-1)^{|S|} y^{n-|N(S)|}$. If S is a non-empty set of $V(G)$, then $|N(S)| \geq \delta$. Suppose that $|y| \geq 1$, then for every $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq V$, $|y|^{n-|N(S)|} \leq |y|^{n-\delta}$, and so $|f(y)| \leq (2^n - 1)|y|^n$. Therefore we have $|y^n - f(y)| > 0$ and so $D_t(G, z) > 0$. So we have the result. □

Corollary 3.3 *Let G be a graph of order n. If $\delta = \delta(G) \geq \frac{2n}{3}$, then every integer root of $D_t(G, x)$ lies in the set $\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$.*

Proof. Since $\delta \geq \frac{2n}{3}$,

$$\sqrt[\delta]{2^n - 1} \leq \sqrt[\delta]{2^n} \leq \sqrt{8}.$$

Let z be a root of $D_t(G, x)$. By Theorem 3.2, $|z + 1| \leq \sqrt[\delta]{2^n - 1}$. So $|z + 1| \leq \sqrt{8}$ and therefore we have the result. □

From Figure 3 for complete graph, we state and prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4 *For every even natural number n, no nonzero real number is a domination root of K_n.*



Figure 3: Total domination roots of graphs K_n and F_n, for $1 \leq n \leq 20$, respectively.

Proof. It is easy to see that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $D_t(K_n, x) = (1+x)^n - nx - 1$. If $D_t(K_n, x) = 0$, then for $x \neq 0$, we have

$$(1+x)^n = nx + 1$$

We consider two cases, and show in each two there is no nonzero real solution.

Case 1) If $x < \frac{-1}{n}$, then $nx + 1 < 0$, but for every even number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(x+1)^n \geq 0$. It is a contradiction.

Case 2) If $\frac{-1}{n} \leq x < 0$, then we have two following inequalities:

$$(\tfrac{n-1}{n})^n \leq (x+1)^n < 0, \qquad 0 < -nx \leq 1$$

Therefore by adding these inequalities, we have, $(\frac{n-1}{n})^n < (1+x)^n - nx < 1$ and therefore cannot be equal to 1, a contradiction. Thus in any event, there are no nonzero real total domination roots of K_n where n is even. □

Here we shall investigate the roots of total domination polynomial of the friendship and the book graphs. First we consider the roots of $D_t(F_n, x)$. Note from Figure 3 it appears that $D_t(F_n, x)$ has negative real roots of arbitrarily large modulus. This is indeed so, and the following lemma will be used in our proof.

Lemma 3.5 [9]

$$lim_{n\to\infty} ln(n)\Big(\frac{ln(n)-1}{n}\Big)^n = 0.$$

The basic idea of the following result follows from the proof of Theorem 8 in [9].

Theorem 3.6 *The total domination polynomial of the friendship graph, $D_t(F_n, x)$, where $n \geq 2$, has a real root in the interval $(-n, -ln(n))$, for n sufficiently large.*

Proof. Suppose that

$$f_{2n}(x) = D_t(F_n, x) = x(1+x)^{2n} + x^{2n} - x.$$

Observe that

$$f_{2n}(x) = x^{2n+1} + (2n+1)x^{2n} + \binom{2n}{2n-2}x^{2n-1} + \binom{2n}{2n-3}x^{2n-2} + ... + \binom{2n}{1}x^2.$$

Consider

$$f_{2n}(-n) = (-1)^{2n+1}n^{2n+1}\Big(1 - \frac{2n+1}{n} + \frac{\binom{2n}{2}}{(n)^2} - ... + \frac{(-1)\binom{2n}{2n-1}}{(n)^{2n-1}}\Big).$$

It is not difficult to see that the following inequality is true for n sufficiently large, which implies $f_{2n}(-n) < 0$ for n sufficiently large,

$$\frac{2n+1}{n} - \frac{\binom{2n}{2}}{(n)^2} + ... - \frac{(-1)\binom{2n}{2n-1}}{(n)^n} < 1.$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2n}(-ln(n)) &= (-ln(n))^{2n} + (-ln(n))\big((1-ln(n))^{2n} - 1\big) \\ &= (ln(n))^{2n}\Big(1 - ln(n)\big(\frac{1-ln(n)}{ln(n)}\big)^{2n} + ln(n)\frac{1}{(ln(n))^{2n}}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.5, we have $ln(n)\Big(\frac{(ln(n)-1)}{n}\Big)^n \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$ which implies that $f_{2n}(ln(n)) > 0$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem, for sufficiently large n, $f_{2n}(x) = D_t(F_n, x)$ has a real root in the interval $(-n, -ln(n))$. □

The following theorem is about the total domination roots of book graph B_n (see Figure 4).

Theorem 3.7 *For every natural number n, no nonzero real number is a total domination root of B_n*

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, if $D_t(B_n, x) = 0$, then for $x \neq 0$ we have

$$(x(x+1)^n + x^n)^2 = -2nx^{2n}.$$

Obviously the above equality is true just for real number 0, since for nonzero real number the left side of equality is positive but the right side is negative. □

Finally we consider the location of the roots of total domination polynomial of $K_{m,n}$.



Figure 4: Total domination roots of graphs B_n , for $2 \leq n \leq 30$.

Theorem 3.8 *Let G be the complete bipartite graph, $K_{m,n} = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$. Then*

$$D_t(K_{m,n}, x) = (x+1)^{m+n} - (x+1)^m - (x+1)^n + 1.$$

Proof. Let i be a natural number $1 \leq i \leq m+n$. We shall determine $d_t(K_{m,n}, i)$. Every set $S \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$, with $S \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $S \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ is a total dominating set of $K_{m,n}$, but subsets which contain only vertices of V_1 or V_2 are not. So we have the result. □

Figure 5 shows the total domination roots of $K_{m,n}$ for $1 \leq n \leq 30$. We state and prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.9 *All roots of $D_t(K_{m,n}, x)$ are on the circle with center $(-1, 0)$ and radius one.*

Proof. By theorem 3.8, for every natural numbers m, n,

$$D_t(K_{m,n}, x) = (x+1)^{m+n} - (x+1)^m - (x+1)^n + 1.$$

If $D_t(K_{m,n}, z) = 0$, then we have

$$(z+1)^{m+n} - (z+1)^m = (z+1)^n - 1$$
and
$$(z+1)^{m+n} - (z+1)^n = (z+1)^m - 1.$$

Therefore $((z+1)^m - 1)((z+1)^n - 1) = 0$, and so we have the result. □

We end the paper by proposing the following conjecture:

Conjecture 3.10 *Let G be a graph. If r is an integer root of $D_t(G, x)$, then $r \in \{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$.*



Figure 5: Total domination roots of graphs $K_{m,n}$, for $1 \leq n \leq 30$.

References

[1] S. Akbari, S. Alikhani, M.R. Oboudi, Y.H. Peng, *On the zeros of domination polynomial of a graph*, Combinatorics and graphs, Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc. 531 (2010) 109-115.

[2] S. Akbari, S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, *Characterization of graphs using domination polynomials*, European J. Combin. 31 (2010) 1714-1724.

[3] S. Alikhani and Y. H. Peng, *Introduction to domination polynomial of a graph,* Ars Combin. vol. 114 (2014) 257-266.

[4] S. Alikhani, *On the graphs with four distinct domination roots*, Int. J. Comp. Math., 88:13 (2011) 2717–2720.

[5] F. Brenti,G.F. Royle, D.G. Wagner, *Location of zeros of chromatic and related polynomials of graphs*. Can. J. Math. 46 (1994) 55-80.

[6] J.I. Brown, C.J. Colbourn, *Roots of the reliability polynomial*. SIAM J. Discret. Math. 5, (1992) 571-585.

[7] J.I. Brown, C.A. Hickman, *On chromatic roots of large subdivisions of graphs*, Discret. Math. 242 (2002) 17-30.

[8] J.I. Brown, C.A. Hickman, R.J. Nowakowski, *On the location of roots of independence polynomials*, J. Algebr. Comb. 19, (2004) 273-282.

[9] J.I. Brown, J. Tufts, *On the roots of domination polynomials*, Graphs Combin. 30 (2014) 527-547.

[10] Y.B. Choe, J.G. Oxley, A.D. Sokal, D.G. Wagner, *Homogeneous multivariate polynomials with the half-plane property*, Adv. Appl. Math. 32 (2004) 88-187.

[11] M. Chudnovsky, P. Seymour, *The roots of independence polynomials of a claw-free graph*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 97 (2007) 350-357.

[12] P. Erdös, A. Rényi, V.T. Sós, *On a problem of graph theory*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 1 (1966), 215–235.

[13] R. Frucht, F. Harary, On the corona of two graphs, Aequationes Math. 4 (1970) 322-324.

[14] M.R. Oboudi, *On the roots of domination polynomial of graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math., 205 (2016) 126-131.